	Loxahatchee River Environmen District	tal Control CONTRACT NO.					
ADDRESS	2500 Jupiter Park Drive	CONTRACTOR					
CITY / STATE/ ZIP	Jupiter, FL 33458	PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	FROM	ТО			
CONTRACT PROJECT MANAGER		LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE					
INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed on the computer or printed and completed by hand. Use the mouse to navigate. To check or uncheck a box, 'double click' the box. If further direction is required on how to complete this evaluation or where to submit it, please contact your Contracting Officer. Comment boxes are formatted to automatically wrap the entered text. Check the box that best describes the level in which the Contractor supported the area described. Comments are essential and must substantiate your rating selection. N/A = not applicable. If additional space is required, use page 2 of the form or attach additional page(s). SEE PAGE 3 FOR EVALUATION RATINGS DEFINITIONS 1. Quality. Contractor conformed to contract requirements. Was capable, efficient and effective in supporting the programs of this							
	vell maintained equipment and hig						
□ N/A] Satisfactory Unsatis	factory					
COMMENTS:				!			
	ctor was prepared and available						
contract period with and any approved ex	little to no disruption or unavaila tensions of time.	bility. Contractor completed the	work within the dates sp	ecified in the contract			
□ N/A □] Satisfactory Unsatis	factory					
COMMENTS:							
3. Change Orders. Contractor conformed to contract requirements, providing complete documentation and was reasonable in the negotiations for time and costs. Contractor did not engage with frivolous our unsupported change order requests. Contractor met time requirements in the contract for identification and quantification of additional or deleted work.							
□ N/A □] Satisfactory Unsatis	factory					
COMMENTS:							

4. Management. Contractor and on-site representatives were professional, well qualified, and committed to customer satisfaction and safety of operations. Contractor provided necessary support for key personnel and if applicable, took necessary action to correct or replace any personnel. Contractor was timely and complete with shop drawings, pay applications, releases, schedules and other required submittals.				
□ N/A	☐ Satisfactory	☐ Unsatisfactory		
COMMENTS:	D			
6. Regulatory Con others.	npliance. How well does	the contractor comply with governing regulat	ions such as the FDEP, FDOH, SFWMD or	
□ N/A	☐ Satisfactory	☐ Unsatisfactory		
COMMENTS:	D			
7. Safety. Contract operations?	ctor and on-site represen	atives attitude and efforts, as well as actual a	pplication and general safety of	
□ N/A	☐ Satisfactory	☐ Unsatisfactory		
COMMENTS:	D			
9. Other Areas: □ N/A	☐ Satisfactory	☐ Unsatisfactory		
10. Other Areas: □ N/A	☐ Satisfactory	☐ Unsatisfactory		
11. Other Areas: ☐ N/A	•	☐ Unsatisfactory		
	☐ Satisfactory			

12. Ove	erall Contrac	ctor Rating:					
□ N/A		☐ Satisfactory	☐ Unsatisfactory				
Additio	Additional comments to support your response to any item above or other items.						
Name	Title of Ind	ividual Completing th	is Form (include agency in	hone and electronic address)			
rianio,	THE OF ITE	ividual Completing th	is form (molade agency, p	none and electronic address y			
Signat	ure						
R	ATING	DEFINITIO	N	NOTE			
Sa	atisfactory		s contractual requirements. erformance of the element	To justify a Satisfactory rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the contractor			
		being assessed r	nay contain some minor	recovered from without impact to the contract. There			
		problems for which the Contractor were	corrective actions taken by satisfactory.	should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.			

Unsatisfactory

Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

To justify an Unsatisfactory rating, identify multiple significant events in each category that the Contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the Government. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An Unsatisfactory rating should be supported by referencing the management tools used to notify the contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g. management, quality, safety, etc.)